## **ORIGINAL**

1	BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
2	OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS
3	
4	
5	IN THE MATTER OF:
6	)
7	PROPOSED NEW AND UPDATED RULES ) R03-9
8	FOR MEASUREMENT AND NUMERICAL ) (Rulemaking-Noise)
9	SOUND EMISSIONS STANDARDS )
10	AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. )
11	CODES 901 AND 910.
12	
13	
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS
16	taken before the HEARING OFFICER WILLIAM F. MURPHY
17	by LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR, a notary public
18	within and for the County of Cook and State of
L 9	Illinois, at Room 9-040 of the James R. Thompson
20	Center, 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois,
21	on the 15th day of May, A.D., at 1:30 p.m.
22	
23	
Δ	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
4	James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street - Suite 11-500
5	Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 814-3665
6	MR. WILLIAM F. MURPHY, HEARING OFFICER
7	
8	ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEMBERS
	Mr. Nicholas Melas
9	Mr. Michael Tristano Dr. G. Tanner Girard
10	Mr. William A. Marovitz
11	Ms. Lynne P. Padovan
12	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
13	Mr. Joel J. Sternstein
14	
15	ALSO PRESENT
16	Mr. Anand Rao, IPCB, Technical Unit Mr. Howard Chinn, Attorney General, Chief Engineer
17	Ms. Marie Tipsord, IPCB, Attorney Ms. Catherine Glenn Joelson
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	

1	INDEX
2	PAGE
3	Greeting by the Hearing Officer 4 - 5
4	Testimony of Mr. Chinn 8 - 15
5	Concluding Statements by Hearing Officer15 - 16
6	
7	NO EXHIBITS MARKED
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
2 4	

- 1 HEARING OFFICER MURPHY: Good
- 2 afternoon my name is William Murphy and I am the
- 3 hearing officer at this proceeding. I would like
- 4 to welcome you to this hearing being held by the
- 5 Illinois Pollution Control Board entitled, IN THE
- 6 MATTER OF: PROPOSED NEW AND UPDATED RULES FOR
- 7 MEASUREMENT AND NUMERICAL SOUND EMISSIONS STANDARDS
- 8 AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODES 901 AND 910.
- 9 Present today on behalf of
- 10 the Illinois Pollution Control Board is Michael
- 11 Tristano, who is to my immediate right. To his
- 12 right is G. Tanner Girard. To his right is
- 13 William Marovitz and to his right is Lynne Padovan.
- Mr. Tristano, would you like to
- 15 make any comment at this time?
- MR. TRISTANO: Welcome to the public.
- 17 I hope that you have some comments at our hearing
- 18 today.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER MURPHY: Would any
- 20 other board members care to make any other comments
- 21 at this time?
- This is the second hearing in this
- 23 matter. The first one was held in Springfield on
- 24 May 1, 2003. Pursuant to Sections 27 and 28 of the

- 1 Illinois Environmental Protection Act, the Illinois
- 2 Pollution Control Board opened this rulemaking to
- 3 amend and update the Board's current noise rules.
- 4 Many of the following measurement definitions and
- 5 techniques in the Board's current rules do not
- 6 reflect present scientific standards.
- 7 This proposal revises
- 8 outdated numerical sound emission standards for
- 9 property-line-noise-sources found at **35 Illinois**
- 10 Administrative Code, Part 901. In addition, the
- 11 proposal, at Part 910 to the Board's rules, it
- 12 incorporates noise measurement techniques currently
- 13 contained in the Illinois Environmental Protection
- 14 Agency rules currently found at Illinois
- 15 Administrative Code 901.
- I would also like to add that
- 17 we do have some members of the board staff present.
- 18 We have Anad Rao from the board technical unit.
- 19 We have Marie Tipsord and Catherine Glenn Joelson
- 20 present.
- The purpose of today's hearing
- 22 is twofold. First, this rulemaking is subject
- 23 to Section 27(b) of the Environmental Protection
- 24 Act. Section 27(b) of the act requires the Board

- 1 to request that the Department of Commerce and
- 2 Economic Opportunities conduct an economic impact
- 3 study in certain proposed rules prior to the
- 4 adoption of those rules.
- 5 In accordance with Section 27(b)
- 6 of the act, the Board requested by letter dated
- 7 April 3, 2003, that DCEO conduct an economic impact
- 8 study for the above-referenced rulemaking. DCEO
- 9 notified the Board in a le Meat dated April 17, 2003,
- 10 that it would not be conducting an economic impact
- 11 study on rules pending before the Board because it
- 12 lacks, among other things, the financial resources
- 13 to conduct such studies.
- 14 Second, the Board would like to
- 15 receive any questions or comments from the public.
- 16 I do ask that any members of the public state your
- 17 name for the record and who you represent before you
- 18 be sworn in by the court reporter.
- 19 Mr. Sternstein, would you like to
- 20 make any comments?
- MR. STERNSTEIN: Sure. Thanks,
- 22 Hearing Officer Murphy. My name is Joel Sternstein.
- 23 I am an assistant attorney general with the Illinois
- 24 Attorney General's Office in the Northern

- Environmental Bureau here in Chicago. I would just 1 2 like to say, first of all, good afternoon to the 3 members of the Board. Also, I very much wanted to 4 thank the Board for giving the Attorney General's 5 Office the opportunity to comment on this docket, 6 R03-9.7 At this point, I would like to 8 introduce Howard Chinn, who is our chief engineer 9 for the environmental bureau of the Attorney 10 General's Office. He has some testimony on the 11 proposed regulations. I guess we should have him 12 sworn in now. 13 THE COURT REPORTER: Would you raise 14 your right hand, please? 15 MR. CHINN: Yes. 16 THE COURT REPORTER: Do you swear the 17 testimony you are about to give will be the truth, 18 the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God, and you'll keep your voice up? 19 20 MR. CHINN: Yes, ma'am. 21 (Witness sworn.)
- 22
- 23

24

- 1 WHEREUPON:
- 2 HOWARD O. CHINN, P. E.
- 3 called as a witness herein, having been first duly
- 4 sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 5 MR. CHINN: My name is Howard Chinn,
- 6 as Joel has stated. I have been with the --
- 7 employed with the Illinois Attorney General's Office
- 8 since April of 1971. I have been working on and off
- 9 with these noise regulations for a number of years.
- 10 Until the noise regulations were
- 11 changed in 1987, any measurement procedure to the
- 12 one hour requirement, it kind of made obsolete the
- 13 noise measurement instruments that we had to mentor
- 14 the nine octive band, one hour each that required
- 15 nine hours. We didn't -- we did not and we do not
- 16 have an integrating meter.
- So what we are interested in
- 18 is that in measurements, in order to determine
- 19 compliance with **Section 901.102** of the noise
- 20 regulations, that applies to prominent discreet
- 21 tones and that the regulations do not explicitly
- 22 specify the use of the Leq averaging to determine
- 23 compliance with numerical standards, which, in my
- 24 opinion, in turn, obviates the need to use an

- 1 innovative sound meter and the need for the one hour
- 2 measurement time.
- 3 We think -- I think that the
- 4 regulation needs to be explicit in that it would
- 5 not require the measurement for one hour and the
- 6 use of an integrating meter, which under 910, the
- 7 integrating meter is required. We had, through
- 8 Joel, submitted written comments on this particular
- 9 issue under R03-8.
- 10 The second comment I have is
- 11 that I have noticed that there has been, like,
- 12 proliferation in the use of lawn care contractor
- 13 and commercial and residential loans in the
- 14 suburban areas where I live and that this equipment
- 15 used by the contractors are larger and noisier.
- 16 There seems to be a need to limit the hours of
- 17 operations for all types of lawn care equipment
- 18 and set the limits on the emission of sound level
- 19 for the equipment, which would be effective sometime
- 20 in the future, such as 2006.
- 21 So this is -- would require
- 22 some study to determine the practicality of doing
- 23 this. I know that lawn equipment has been getting
- 24 some attention from the air pollution standpoint.

- So these are my brief comments.
- 2 I'll accept questions.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER MURPHY: Thank you.
- 4 Do any members of the Board or any
- 5 board staff have any questions or comments at this
- 6 time?
- 7 MR. RAO: Good afternoon, Mr. Chinn.
- 8 I just have a couple of clarification questions.
- 9 Regarding your suggestion for
- 10 including in the 900.102 rules itself, are you
- 11 suggesting that such language should be included
- 12 under 900.102 itself or in section -- I mean,
- 13 **900.102** or under **900.103?**
- MR. CHINN: 900.102.
- MR. RAO: **900.102**?
- MR. CHINN: Right.
- 17 MR. RAO: Because right now, the
- 18 way the management procedures are set up under
- 19 Section 900.103 in the proposed rules under
- 20 Docket R03-8, the rules say that all measurement
- 21 and measurements -- under 900.103(b)(1), it says,
- 22 and I'll read this to you, all measurements and
- 23 all measurement procedures to determine whether
- 24 emissions of sound comply with 35 Ill. Adm. Code 901

- 1 shall with the exception of measurements determine
- 2 whether emissions of sound comply with 35 Ill. Adm.
- 3 Code 901.109 be based on Leq averaging.
- 4 Does that address your concerns?
- 5 MR. CHINN: Looking at the numerical
- 6 limits for 901.102, it doesn't say that you need
- 7 to determine it on the basis of Leq averaging.
- MR. RAO: Because the way the rules
- 9 are set up right now, the measurement procedures
- 10 are in 900.103 where we say all measurement from
- 11 901.102 should be taken in accordance with the
- 12 Leq averaging.
- MR. CHINN: Taken in...
- MR. RAO: Yes.
- MR. CHINN: Yes.
- 16 MR. RAO: Does that address your
- 17 concern?
- MR. CHINN: Yes.
- 19 MR. TRISTANO: We'll look at
- 20 that. That clearly is a concern. We think that
- 21 is covered. We'll make absolutely sure.
- MR. CHINN: Pardon?
- 23 MR. TRISTANO: We think we did cover
- 24 your concern, but we will make absolutely sure.

- 1 MR. CHINN: Okay.
- MR. TRISTANO: We appreciate that.
- MR. GIRARD: I have one question.
- 4 Getting back to your comment about the lawn
- 5 equipment getting noisier up here in the suburbs,
- 6 are you suggesting that we should have a statewide
- 7 requirement of operating hours for equipment of
- 8 that type?
- 9 MR. CHINN: I do.
- 10 MR. GIRARD: Well, I just wonder
- 11 if you think it might be better to have local
- 12 government make that sort of decision. I mean,
- 13 for instance, down in the part of the state where
- 14 I am, you know, when farmers need to get their
- 15 crops out of the field, they are sometimes working
- until 2:00 or 3:00 in the morning and their
- 17 equipment is much louder than the kind of lawn mower
- 18 you have operating up here in the suburbs. You
- 19 know, it seems to me that that is a decision that
- 20 needs to be made at the local level rather than a
- 21 regulation made statewide with -- you know, where
- 22 one size fits all.
- 23 MR. CHINN: I think the regulation
- 24 makes a distinction between farm equipment and lawn

- 1 care equipment according to the definition. I have
- 2 been working on a case involving Lisle and the
- 3 village engineer tells me that they don't have the
- 4 expertise to development and enforce noise
- 5 regulations. So she was asking me questions about
- 6 the state rules and regulations.
- 7 There are probably other
- 8 communities that would be interested in enforcing
- 9 these regulations if they were familiar with them.
- 10 (Whereupon, Lynne Padovan
- 11 exited the proceedings.)
- MR. CHINN: Otherwise, they would
- 13 have to retain an outside expert to do noise
- 14 measurements. I think these regulations are pretty
- 15 complicated and not all communities are familiar
- 16 enough with these regulations and know what to do
- 17 with them. They sometimes would have to call the
- 18 police and the police have no idea what to do with
- 19 it. I think we need regulations statewide and it
- 20 certainly would be based upon your land use. If you
- 21 have from A to A, that's one thing. If you have
- 22 different classifications for farmers, I think there
- 23 would be an exemption for the farmers. So there are
- 24 ways of drafting this so that it would not restrict

- 1 the farmers' activities.
- MR. GIRARD: Wouldn't it be possible,
- 3 though, for a municipality to prohibit use of lawn
- 4 equipment, whether it be lawn mowers or leaf blowers
- 5 or something like that, before a certain hour in the
- 6 morning?
- 7 MR. CHINN: I would think it would
- 8 not be uniform standards to a community. Presently,
- 9 Lisle uses just the A-weighted average -- A-weighted
- 10 scale. They have no idea what the aqua bands are or
- 11 how to enforce it. This one site that I am working
- 12 on out in Lisle, they are putting up air pollution
- 13 control equipment with blowers and fans and in
- 14 reviewing their work plan, they said they shall
- 15 comply with the local noise regulations. I said,
- 16 no, you have to comply with the state noise
- 17 regulations.
- 18 So going from working in various
- 19 communities, which is what I do, there is some
- 20 desire by the communities to enforce their
- 21 regulation, which may be different and inconsistent
- 22 with the state regulations.
- I found that with -- out in Lisle
- 24 where they were putting in new connections for

- 1 water. They said we will follow the local plumbing
- 2 rules. I said, no, you've got to follow state
- 3 rules. I said I don't want to be changing from each
- 4 locality to each locality. I have to learn and make
- 5 sure that they are in compliance with those local
- 6 ordinances.
- 7 So we have a statewide plumbing
- 8 code. I said this is what you people have to
- 9 follow. I am working in Naperville, Lisle and
- 10 Downers Grove in these new water cases. I said
- 11 I don't want to -- I need to learn what these
- 12 different local regulations are and how to apply
- 13 it. So I think there is a need for uniform
- 14 statewide regulations on this lawn care equipment.
- MR. GIRARD: Thank you.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER MURPHY: Any further
- 17 questions or comments?
- MR. GIRARD: No thanks.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER MURPHY: Any further
- 20 comments, Mr. Chinn?
- MR. CHINN: No.
- HEARING OFFICER MURPHY: Well, on
- 23 behalf of the Board, I would like to thank you
- 24 for your comments. I know the Board greatly

Т	applectates it.
2	Seeing that there are no members
3	of the public who came in addition, we will wrap
4	things up. The comment period will close on June
5	16, 2003, which is approximately 30 days after
6	this hearing. Are there any objections to that?
7	All right. If any persons would
8	like a copy of the transcript of today's hearing,
9	please speak to the court reporter directly. To
10	order a copy of the transcript from the Board, it
11	will cost 75 cents a page. You also have the option
12	of downloading the transcript from the Board's web
13	site at no charge.
L 4	Does anyone have any further
L 5	questions or comments? Are there any objections to
L 6	adjourning this hearing? Seeing none, this hearing
L 7	is adjourned. Thank you.
. 8	(Whereupon, no further
L 9	proceedings were had
20	in the above-entitled
21	cause.)
22	
23	

```
STATE OF ILLINOIS
 1
                            SS.
     COUNTY OF C O O K
 2
 3
 4
               I, LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, a notary public
 5
     within and for the County of Cook and State of
 6
     Illinois, do hereby certify that heretofore,
 7
     to-wit, on the 15th day of May, A.D., 2003,
 8
     personally appeared before me at Room 2-025 of
 9
     the James R. Thompson Center, in the City of
10
     Chicago, County of Cook and State of Illinois,
11
     a certain cause now pending and undetermined
12
    before the Illinois Pollution Control Board in
13
     the above-entitled cause.
14
               I further certify that any said
15
    witnesses were by me first duly sworn to testify
16
    the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth
    in the cause aforesaid; that the testimony then
17
    given by them were by me reduced to writing by means
18
    of shorthand in the presence of said witness and
19
20
    afterwards transcribed upon a computer, and the
    foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the
21
22
    testimony so given by them as aforesaid.
                I further certify that the taking of
23
```

this hearing was pursuant to notice, and that there

24

Τ	were present at the taking of the hearing of the
2	aforementioned parties.
3	I further certify that I am not
4	counsel for nor in any way related to any of the
5	parties to this hearing, nor am I in any way
6	interested in the outcome thereof.
7	In testimony whereof I have hereunto
8	set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this
9	22nd day of May, A.D., 2003.
10	
11	LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR.
12	Notary Public, Cook County, IL Illinois License No. 084-002890
13	TITINOIS LICENSE NO. 004-002090
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	